Municipal Report



6

Town of Trenton

Department of Municipal Affairs and Housing

Municipal Profile and Financial Condition Indicators Results

Table of Contents Introduction	4
Chapter 1 - Highlights	
Municipal Highlights	5
About the Municipality	
Population Highlights	5
Financial Highlights	6
Revenue	6
Expenses	6
Annual Surplus	6
Debt	6
Financial Condition Indicators Highlights	7
Chapter 2 - Municipal Profile	9
Municipal Composition	10
Population Trends	10
Economic Indicators	12
Chapter 3 - Financial Information	
General Overview:	13
Financial Reporting Compliance:	13
Revenue:	14
Property Assessment Information	16
Expenses:	17
Accumulated Surplus (Deficit)	20
Debt	21
Chapter 4 - Financial Condition Indicators:	
House Model	
The Model:	
Trenton's Overall Assessment	23
Trenton's House Model	24
The Base FCI Indicators	
Reliance on a Single Business or Institution	26
Three-Year Change in Tax Base	27
Residential Tax Effort	
FCI Indicators – Structure (Management) Indicators	
Debt Service	
Outstanding Operating Debt	
Operating Reserve	
Uncollected Taxes	
Undepreciated Assets	

5-Year Budget Accuracy	34
FCI- Key Performance Indicators –	35
Reliance on Government Transfers	
Number of Deficits in the Last 5 Years	
Liquidity	
Combined Reserves	
Appendix I – Additional Resources	39



Introduction

The Department of Municipal Affairs and Housing compiles municipal indicators on behalf of the Nova Scotia Government and Association of Municipal Administrators of Nova Scotia (AMANS) that focus on three areas:

- financial matters;
- administration of the municipality; and
- characteristics of the community.

This report creates a snapshot from those financial and demographic statistics to help community members and decision makers better understand:

- the municipality in which they live;
- · the municipality's key characteristics; and
- the municipality's financial risks.

The snapshot makes it easy to:

- compare the Three-Year Change in Tax Base indicator to understand the municipality's revenue growth in comparison to cost of living; and
- use the change in population to indicate whether a community's population is growing or declining and its potential impact on municipal revenues and expenses.

Chapter 1 - Highlights

Municipal Highlights

About the Municipality

Name:	Town of Trenton
County:	Pictou County
Approximate size:	6 km²
Number of dwellings:	1,184



Figure 1- Location of Municipality

Population Highlights

Population 2016:	2,475
Population 5-year trend:	-5.4%
County 5-year trend:	-4.2%
Demographic trend:	Aging
Up to 19 Yrs.	21%
20 to 59 Yrs.	51%
Over 60 Yrs.	28%




Figure 2 - Age Breakdown

Financial Highlights

Revenue

Total consolidated revenue:	\$4.2 million
Revenue generated from own revenue ¹ :	58%
Total operating revenue:	\$3.6 million
Largest operating revenue:	43% Property taxes and payments in lieu of Taxes

Expenses

Total consolidated expenses:	\$4.5 million
Total operating fund expenses:	\$3.5 million
Largest operating fund expense:	23%
	Protective services: police and fire

Annual Surplus

Annual consolidated surplus(deficit):	-\$0.3 million
Consolidated accumulated surplus (deficit):	\$11.3 million
Annual operating surplus (deficit):	-\$102 thousand

Debt

Total consolidated long-term debt:	\$3.4 million
Total general fund long-term debt:	\$3.4 million
Operating fund bank indebtedness:	\$40 thousand

¹ Consolidated revenue excluding government transfers

• • • 6

Financial Condition Indicators Highlights


Overall Assessment Red (High risk)

The overall Financial Conditions Index assessment for the Town of Trenton is red (High risk). This means that, although the Municipality has a few challenges, it is considered high risk for fiscal instability.

As shown in the House model below, Trenton's FCIs are comprised of:

Low risk (green):	6 indicators
Moderate risk <mark>(yellow</mark>):	2 indicators
High risk <mark>(red):</mark>	5 indicators

Details on the individual FCI assessments and the Municipality's specific challenges are provided below. For more in-depth information, refer to Chapter 4.



Two-Year Comparison of Financial Condition Indicators

-2.7% 7.9% 0.0% 0.2%
0.0%
0.2%
0
-5.1%
2.3%
-16.3%
-1.7%
1
-0.3
0.9%
-4.5%




Chapter 2 - Municipal Profile

Municipalities are diverse and operate within unique demographic and economic characteristics. These characteristics have a significant impact on municipal performance and subsequent strategies required to ensure a sustainable environment. This chapter outlines the unique demographic and economic characteristics of the Municipality, specifically its composition, population trends, demographics, median household income levels, employment rates, and educational attainment. The municipal profile trends could have impacts on the current and potential future tax base for a municipality.

Municipal Composition

Name:	Town of Trenton
County:	Pictou County
Government:	5 elected councillors (including the Mayor)
Geographic area:	6 km²
Number of dwellings:	1,184
Population Trend	ds
Population:	2,475
Provincial population:	0.3%
20-year trend:	Declining [supported by Figure 3 below)
Age trend:	Majority between 40-69 years of age [supported by Figure 4 below)








Figure 3-- Population from 1996–2016. Source: Statistics Canada





Figure 5- Population Age Change from 2011 to 2016. Source: Statistics Canada






Figure 6 - Comparison of Population by Age for 2011 and 2016. Source: Statistics Canada

Economic Indicators

Along with population trends, employment rates and median household income are important economic indicators. Education levels can also play a crucial role in economic and social progress and can help improve income distribution. These factors provide an indication of the wellbeing of the economy and labour force.

	2016 Census	2011 Census	+/-	2016 Town Avg.
Median Household Income	\$50,592	\$42,535	\$8,057	\$48,934
Employment Rate	46.9%	53.5%	-6.6%	48.3%
Education Beyond High School	47.3%	54.6%	-7.3%	52.0%

Source: 2011 and 2016 Statistics Canada Census

Median household income:	Trenton's median household income is higher than the provincial town average, and it has increased since 2011.
Employment rate:	In a healthy economy, the employment rate is between 97% and 95%. The Town of Trenton's employment rate is below the provincial town average, and it has declined.
Education level:	Trenton's education level is lower than the provincial town average and has decreased since 2011.

Chapter 3 - Financial Information

General Overview:

Audited financial statements are presented on a consolidated basis. These consolidated financial statements present all municipal entities as one single reporting entity:

- all the individual funds managed by the Municipality
- organizations or enterprises that the Municipality owns or controls, such as:
 - Water Utility

The Municipality's non-consolidated financial statements[•] present only the individual funds managed by the Municipality, such as the General Operating Fund, General Capital Fund, Water Operating Fund, Water Capital Fund, Non-operating Reserve Fund, and the Operating Reserve Fund. Non-consolidated financial statements are reconciled but not audited.²

Financial Reporting Compliance:

Audited financial statements and financial information return submitted:		yes
	Submission of financial information:	After deadline ³
	Financial statements include:	
	Remuneration and Expenses for Elected Officials and CAO/Clerk Note:	yes
	Unqualified Opinion:	yes
	Quarterly Expenditure report posted online:	no
	Summary Expenditure Report submitted:	yes
	Statement of Estimates and assessment information submitted:	yes

² Please be advised that, although the Department reconciles, at a high level, a municipality's non-consolidated financial statements to the consolidated financial statements, the non-consolidated financial statements are usually not audited nor presented in full accordance with Canadian public sector accounting standards.

³ Annually, municipalities are required to submit their financial information by Sept 30th.

Revenue:

\$4.2 M 2019 Consolidated Revenue

Total consolidated revenue: Largest revenue:	\$4.2 million 42% Government Transfers	
Revenue generated from own revenue ⁴ :	58%	
9%		 Taxes and Grants in lieu of taxes Services provided to other governments Sales of service
42%		Other Revenue from own sources
2% 6% 4%	1	Government Transfers Water fund revenues

Figure 7- Consolidated Revenue Source: 2019 Financial Information Return (FIR)


The graph above and table below show the Municipality's revenue divided into six categories on a consolidated basis.

	Consolidated Revenue
Taxes and Grants-in-lieu of taxes:	\$1.6 million
Services provided to other governments:	0.1 million
Sales of services:	0.2 million
Other revenue from own sources:	88 thousand
Government Transfers:	1.8 million
Net Income from government business enterprises:	n/a
Water Fund revenues:	0.4 million
Electric Fund revenues:	n/a

⁴ Consolidated revenue excluding government transfers



\$3.6 M 2019 General Operating Revenue



Reliance on Government transfers 12.6%




Figure 8 – General Operating Revenue Source: 2019 Financial Information Return (FIR)

The graph above and table below show the Municipality's operating fund revenue divided into 7 categories.

Net property taxes and payments in lieu of taxes:	1.6 million
Grants-in-lieu of taxes:	3 thousand
Services provided to other governments:	0.1 million
Sales of services:	0.2 million
Other revenue from own sources:	0.1 million
Unconditional transfers from other governments:	1.5 million
Conditional transfers from other governments:	33 thousand

Property Assessment Information

Since property taxes are a primary source of revenue for most municipalities, special emphasis has been placed on reviewing assessment trends.

Three-year change in assessment ⁵ :	1.4%
	growth does not keep pace with the cost of living
Highest reliance on a single business or institution:	23.9% highly dependent (high risk)
Residential Tax Effort:	2.8% has some flexibility (low risk)

The line graphs below show the five-year trend of residential and commercial portion of the municipality's uniform assessment:




Figure 9- Residential assessment value over the last five years. Source: 2015-2019 Statement of Estimates Assessment



Figure 10- Commercial assessment value over the last five years. Source: 2015-2019 Statement of Estimates Assessment

⁵ Uniform assessment is the value of a municipality's taxable property assessment plus the capitalized value of payments in lieu of taxes.

Expenses:

\$4.5 M 2019 Consolidated Expenses

Total consolidated expenses:\$4.5 millionLargest expense:22%Recreation and Cultural Services




Figure 11- Consolidated Expenses Source: 2019 Financial Information Return

The graph above and table below show the Municipality's consolidated expenses divided into seven functions.

General government:	Consolidated Expenses \$0.8 million
Protective services:	0.7 million
Transportation:	0.9 million
Environmental health services:	0.5 million
Public Health services:	n/a
Environmental development services:	0.2 million
Recreation and Cultural:	1.0 million
Extraordinary or special items:	n/a
Water expenses:	0.4 million
Electric Fund expenses:	n/a

\$3.5 M 2019 General Expenses

Total operating fund expenses:	\$3.5 million
Largest operating fund expense:	23%
	Protective services: police and fire
Operating reserves as percentage of expenses:	0.0% (High risk)




Figure 12- Operating General Fund Expenses. Source: 2019 Financial Information Return

As shown in the pie chart above, expenses for Trenton are comprised of:

General Government services:	0.7 million
Protective services:	0.8 million
Transportation services:	0.6 million
Environmental Health services:	0.4 million
Public Health services:	n/a
Environmental Development services:	0.2 million
Recreation and Cultural services:	0.8 million

The graph below shows the expenses by function for the municipal operations or General Operating Fund expenses compared to the provincial town average.




Figure 13 – Comparison of General Fund Expenses to Provincial Town Average. Source: 2019 Financial Information Return

Accumulated Surplus (Deficit)

Annual Surplus: Revenue - expenses Note: This amount is added to the accumulated surplus (deficit).

Total annual consolidated surplus(deficit):	-\$324 thousand
Total consolidated accumulated surplus (deficit):	\$11.3 million
Total annual operating surplus (deficit):	-\$101.8 thousand
Number of operating deficits in the last 5 years:	1

Deficit of \$101.8 thousand in 2018-2019




Figure 14- Consolidated Accumulated Surplus by Fund. Source: 2019 Financial Information Return

Debt

Total consolidated long-term debt:	\$3.4 million
Total general fund long-term debt:	\$3.4 million
Debt Service Ratio:	8.0%
Operating fund bank indebtedness:	\$40 thousand
Outstanding operating debt as percentage of: Net Property Taxes/Payments in Lieu of Taxes, Grants in Lieu of Taxes, and Government Transfers	1.3%

Chapter 4 - Financial Condition Indicators: House Model

The Financial Condition Indicators (FCIs)⁶ condense multiple sources of information into a single visual g "House Model" graph. The House Model gives a quick visual of a municipality's strengths and possible areas where a municipality may want to focus its attention.

The Model:

The Model consists of 13 indicators organized into base, structure and roof, focusing on:

- roof: 4 key performance indicators;
- structure: 6 financial indicators that concern management and debt; and
- base: 3 indicators relating to internal and external factors that could impact the municipality's revenue stream.

Risk thresholds:

Each indicator is assessed against a risk threshold:

- low risk (green);
- moderate risk (yellow); and
- high risk (red).

Overall assessment:

The Department calculates an overall assessment for fiscal instability:

- low risk (green): 10–13 FCIs meets low risk threshold;
- Moderate risk (yellow): 8–9 FCIs meets low risk threshold; and
- High risk (red): 7 or less FCIs meets low risk threshold.

Town of Trenton		
Trenton's Overall Assessment		
Overall Assessment for:	Trenton	
Financial Condition:	High risk	
	overall Financial Conditions Index assessment for own of Trenton is Red (High risk).	
	This means that, although the Municipality has a few challenges, it is considered high risk for fiscal instability.	
Comparison:	Majority of towns are at moderate risk (see chart below).	

Summary of Towns Overall Results for 2018-2019



Trenton's House Model


As shown in the House model below, Trenton's FCIs are comprised of:

Low risk: 6 indicators

Moderate risk: 2 indicators

High risk: 5 indicators


The House Model below provides details on the individual FCI assessments and the Municipality's specific challenges.



Please note FCIs are based on non-consolidated data.

Town's Average House Model

The model below shows that, in general Nova Scotia's towns are experiencing challenges.



On average, the Towns' challenges are: Deficits;


Maintaining adequate reserves (combined and operating);

Budget accuracy; and

Three-year change in tax base is below the three-year change in the cost of living.

The Base FCI Indicators

Reliance on a Single Business or Institution



Trenton's tachometer indicates 23.9%

Three-Year Change in Tax Base

2019 Results:	Moderate risk: 1.4% growth
What does it mean?	Growth is below the CPI % change of 5.2%. The municipality's tax base is not keeping up with the cost of municipal services and programs.
Calculation:	<u>Current Uniform Assessment-Uniform Assessment 3 Years Prior</u> = 1.4% growth Uniform Assessment 3 Years Prior
Risk Thresholds:	
	Low: Equal or above CPI % Change Moderate: Below CPI % Change, but not negative growth High: Negative growth
Three-ye	ear change in tax base in comparison to three-year change in CPI for the last 6 years
10.0% 9.0% 8.0% 7.0% 6.0% 5.0% 4.0% 3.0% 2.0% 1.0% 0.0%	
2014	2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 Town of Trenton CPI CPI

Figure 15- Three-year change in tax base as a comparison to CPI for the last six years, Source: Statement of Estimates Assessment and Statistics Canada.

Town of Trenton


Residential Tax Effort

2019 Results:	Low risk: 2.8% of median household income is required to pay the average tax bill.	
What does it mean?	The Municipality has some flexibility to increase the taxes, if required. This indicator helps council assess the affordability of	
	municipal taxes in relation to the current service levels.	
Calculation:	<u>Total residential tax revenue per dwelling unit</u> = 2.8% Median Household Income	
Risk Thresholds:	Low risk: less than 4% Moderate risk: 4–6% High risk: greater than 6%	
high risk moderate risk low risk		


Trenton's tachometer indicates 2.8%.

FCI Indicators – Structure (Management) Indicators

Debt Service




Trenton's tachometer indicates 8.0%



Trenton's Tachometer indicates 1.3%

Town of Trenton **Operating Reserve** 2019 Results: High risk: 0.0% The Municipality has not set aside sufficient funds to help mitigate any What does it mean? unforeseen risks or future needs. This indicator provides the value of funds set aside for planned future need, to smooth expenses or for unexpected expenses. **Calculation:** Total Operating Reserve Fund Balance = 0.0% **Total Operating Expenditures Risk Thresholds:** Low risk: greater than 20% Moderate risk: 10-20% High risk: less than 10% low risk moderate risk high risk

Trenton's Tachometer indicates 0.0%



Trenton's tachometer indicates 13.8%.

Town of Trenton		
Undepreciated Assets		
2019 Results:	Low risk: 53.0%	
What does it mean?	This indicator estimates that the Municipality's capital assets have 53.0% of useful life remaining.	
	Municipalities across Canada are facing sufficient infrastructure challenges. This indicates that the Municipality is experiencing less of an infrastructure challenge than other municipalities.	
Calculation:	<u>Total Net Book Value of Capital Assets</u> = 53.0% Gross Costs of Capital Assets	
Risk Thresholds:	Low risk: greater than 50% Moderate risk: 35–50%	
	High risk: less than 35%	
	high risk low risk	

Trenton's tachometer indicates 53.0%.

5-Year Budget Accuracy

2019 Results:	low risk: 5 of the last 5 years, actual expenditures were within +/- 5% of budget.
What does it mean?	The Municipality did consistently maintain expenditure spending within budget limits. In 2017, actual expenses were 0.8% less than the budget.
Calculation:	In 2018, actual expenses were 4.0% less than the budget. <u>Total budget expenditures - Total actual expenditures</u> = -2.7% Total budget expenditures


Risk Thresholds:



Low: 5 out of 5 years, expenditures were within +/- 5% or expenditure and revenue variances were within +/- 5% of each other

Moderate: 4 out of 5 years, expenditures were within +/- 5%


High: Less than 4 out of 5 years, expenditures were within +/- 5%



FCI- Key Performance Indicators -

the ability to meet current and future needs in a balanced and independent manner





Trenton's tachometer indicates 12.6%.

Number of Deficits in the Last 5 Years

2019 Results:	High risk: 1 operating deficits in the last five years
What does it mean?	Deficits are important indications of financial health. The result indicates that the municipality has, at times, not been able to meet its needs in a balanced manner and maintain a balanced budget.
Calculation:	The number of non-consolidated operating deficits in the last five years = 1.

Risk Thresholds:

Low risk: 0 in the last 5 years Moderate 1 or more in the last 5 years High risk: 1 or more in the last 2 years with one material deficit (0.5% of operating expenses)





Figure 17- Annual operating fund surplus (deficits) for the last 5 years Source: Financial Information Return

Liquidity

2019 Results:	High risk: 0.8	
What does it mean?	Liquidity is key to financial performance. The result indicates that the Municipality appears to have a cash flow problem and is not likely to be able to meet its service obligations.	
Calculation:	<u>Total Current Financial Assets</u> = 0.8 Total Current Liabilities	
Risk Thresholds:	Low risk: greater than 1.5 Moderate risk: 1 to 1.5 High risk: less than 1	
Liquidity Chart		
	low risk	
	0.8 high risk	
	Trenton	



Appendix I – Additional Resources

Nova Scotia Government's Open Data Portal (data.novascotia.ca)

This portal provides access to various government data in a free, accessible, machinereadable format.

Financial datasets currently published through the Nova Scotia Government's Open Data Portal:

- 911 Municipal Grants
- Consolidated Revenues and Expenses by Municipality
- Municipal Affairs Funding Programs
- Emergency Services Provider Fund
- Farm Land Grant
- Financial Condition Indicators by Municipality
- Municipal Contributions to Roads
- Municipal Financial Capacity Grant (formally equalization program)
- Municipal Operating Fund- Summary of Revenue and Expenses by Municipality
- Municipal Operating Expenses by Function -10 Year Summary
- Municipal Operating Revenue by Source -10 Year Summary
- Municipal Property Tax Rates
- Nova Scotia Power Grant-in-Lieu
- Uniform Assessment

Municipal website

town.trenton.ns.ca

A municipality's website can be a helpful resource to access various financial information. Currently, most municipal websites provide:

- audited Financial Statements
- approved Operating Budget
- O quarterly municipal Expense Report
- O quarterly municipal Hospitality Expense Report

Contact Municipal Affairs and Housing

For more information, for support in action plan development, or to obtain a guide on action plan development:

Katharine Cox-Brown Director, Municipal Finance and Operating Grants <u>Katharine.Cox-Brown@novascotia.ca</u> 902.424.4643

