# Municipal Report

**Town of Windsor** 

Department of Municipal Affairs

Municipal Profile and Financial Condition Indicators Results


2017




6


### At a Glance

Windsor is a town located in Hants County, Nova Scotia. Some specifics about the Town are shown below and other general information can be found on page 8.



#### **Financial Highlights**





Source: Consolidated Schedule of Revenues (FIR\_CR) for the year ended March 31, 2017 Source: Consolidated Schedule of Expenses (FIR\_CE) for the year ended March 31, 2017

# **Table of Contents**

At a Glance1
Introduction
Financial Condition Indicators
FCI Key Indicators Trends
Detailed Results
General Statistical Information8
Population Trends9
Revenue and Expense Comparisons10
Financial Condition Indicators
Appendix I- Financial Condition Indicators Thresholds14
Appendix II- Additional Resources

About us		1	8
----------	--	---	---

### Introduction

The Department of Municipal Affairs, on behalf of the Nova Scotia Government and Association of Municipal Administrators of Nova Scotia (AMANS), compiles municipal indicators that focus on financial matters, administration of the municipality and characteristics of the community.

Prior to 2017, this information was structured into two separate reports – the Financial Condition Index and the Municipal Profile reports. This report brings together both sets of statistics (financial and demographic) to give an overall snapshot for each municipality.

You can use this report to:

- better understand the administrative and operational performance of a municipality;
- better understand key characteristics about the municipality;
- inform the decision-making process; and
- > help community members better understand the municipality in which they live.

#### For example

Community members can use the residential tax burden indicator to compare their property taxes with property taxes in other municipalities.

Municipal councilors can use the change in population indicator to understand whether their community's population is growing or declining.

#### **Financial Condition Indicators**

The Financial Condition Indicators were developed in collaboration with both the Union of Nova Scotia Municipalities (UNSM) and AMANS. Thirteen indicators are examined to provide a general picture of municipal financial condition. While the Indicators cannot provide a comprehensive assessment of financial condition, they can provide indication of strengths, trends and risk areas where a municipality should focus.


The municipality's general financial condition is graphically represented on the following page by a 'House' format.

- Roof The key performance indicators are located at the roof or top of the house. Four indicators measure the achievement of the overall objectives for assessing financial health.
- Structure Indicators located in the middle are key elements that impact the overall financial condition objectives (leading measures).
- Base The indicators located on the bottom (base) are indicators that although the municipality may not have a direct control over all elements for the indicator, the indicator can have a significant impact on the financial health of the municipality.

### **Financial Condition Indicators Graph**

#### **Reading the Graph**

The House graphic presents Indicators scores and are colour coded to indicate overall risk level. (Low risk is green, moderate risk is yellow and high risk is red.) The graph allows users to graphically pinpoint priority areas for actions as well as areas of success.



To further understand success or focus areas, please refer to page 5 of the report. For detailed results and comparative information (prior year and rural average), please refer to page 11.

# Highlight from the House Graph

#### **Top Success Areas**

- 1. Liquidity Position
- 2. Prudent Debt Level
- 3. Strong Reserves
- 4. Effective Tax Collection
- 5. Asset Management

#### **Top Focus Action Area**

1. Budget Accuracy


### FCI Key Indicators Trends (The Roof)

**Number of Deficits** - A deficit occurs when a municipality's expenditures exceed their revenues. For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2017, the Town of Windsor incurred a surplus of 465.1 thousand. In the last five years, Windsor has not incurred a deficit. Due to the surpluses, Windsor was assessed at a moderate risk.



Windsor's Surplus (deficit) Trend Source Financial Information Return(FIR) from 2013 to 2017

### **FCI Key Indicators Trends (The Roof)**



Windsor's Reliance on Government Transfers Source: Financial Information Return (FIR) from 2014 to 2017 **Reliance on Government Transfers** - This ratio measures the extent of funding received from the other governments. A municipality is vulnerable if a municipality is reliant on revenue sources beyond its direct control or influence. The Town of Windsor's transfers as a percentage of total revenue by 0.3 percentage points from the previous year to 7.7 percent. A municipality would be considered in a high exposure to funding risk if their percentage was above 20%. Windsor is in a low risk zone.


**Liquidity** - This ratio measures the extent a municipality has enough cash to pay bills as they are due. The Town of Windsor's liquidity position has been in a strong 1.3 to 3.5 range for the last four years. In 2016-2017, Windsor's liquidity ratio increased by 1.5 from the previous years to 3.5. A municipality would be considered in a high risk if the liquidity ratio was below 1. The preferred range is 1.5 and above.





### FCI Key Indicators Trends (The Roof)

**Combined Reserves** - Reserves are monies set aside for planned future needs such as capital infrastructure or unexpected costs. Municipalities are vulnerable if they have limited flexibility to offset unexpected revenue losses, increases in expenses, or have an inadequate capital replacement funds. The combined reserve ratio measures the percentage of the combined reserves to the operating and amortization costs. The Town of Windsor's combined reserves as a percentage of total operating and amortization costs is 86.6 percent. A municipality would be considered vulnerable and in a high-risk zone if the municipality's combined reserve was below 30.0 per cent.



Windsor's Combined Reserve compared to the average for towns Source 2017 Financial Information Return (FIR)

#### **General Information**


	2017	2016	+/-	2017 Town Avg.
Number of Elected Officials	5	5	0	7
Geographic Area ( km² )	9	9	0	10
Number of Dwelling Units	1,766	1,829	-63	1,985
Course: Department of Municipal Affairs				

Source: Department of Municipal Affairs


	2016 Census	2011 Census	+/-	2016 Town Avg.
Median Household Income	\$43,922		-\$725	\$48,880
Employment Rate	48%	46%	2%	48%
Education Beyond High School	49%	47%	2%	52%
Population	3,650	3,785	-135	3,750

Source: 2011 and 2016 Statistics Canada Census

#### **Population**




Source: 2016 Statistics Canada Census



Source: 1996, 2001, 2006, 2011, & 2016 Statistics Canada Census

#### **Revenue and Expense Comparisons**



Source: Consolidated Schedule of Revenue (FIR\_CR) for the year ended March 31, 2017



Source: Consolidated Schedule of Expense (FIR\_CE) for the year ended March 31, 2017

#### FCI – Base Indicators

Indicator	2017	2016	+/-	2017 Town Avg.
3-year Change in Tax Base: This indicator measures how a municipality's tax base keeps pace with inflation.	5.7%	<b>9</b> .4%	-3.7%	6.9%
Reliance on a Single Business or Institution: This indicator speaks to the municipality's reliance on one employer for a significant portion of their tax base. Over reliance on any source of revenue can represent a vulnerability.	2.7%	2.9%	-0.2%	6.1%
Residential Tax Effort: Residential tax effort is the average property tax burden per household in the municipality. This indicator speaks to the municipality's flexibility to increase taxes if additional revenue is required.	<b>0</b> 4.6%	<b>4.8%</b>	-0.2%	3.7%

Source: Financial Information Return (FIR) for the year ended March 31, 2017

#### **FCI – Structure Indicators**

Indicator	2017	2016	+/-	2017 Town Avg.
Uncollected Taxes: This indicator speaks to a municipality's success in collecting revenues owed. Failure to collect taxes can significantly impact actual revenue, cash flow, and thereby could hinder their ability to provide services.	• 7.6%	9.7%	-2.1%	7.3%
Budget Accuracy: This indicator speaks to the municipality's success at projecting the revenue required to maintain a balanced operating budget.	<b>e</b> 3/5	0 4/5	N/A	3.9/5
<b>Operating Reserves:</b> this indicator speaks to whether the municipality is setting aside funds to address unforeseen circumstances.	38.7%	35.0%	3.7%	14.5%
Debt Services: This indicator speaks to how much of municipal revenue is going towards paying off debt.	• 7.7%	8.1%	-0.4%	6.9%
Outstanding Operating Debt: This measure calculates the municipality's borrowing limit per the MGA Section 84.	• 0.0%	N/A	N/A	4.4%
Undepreciated Assets: This indicator speaks to the age of the municipality's existing capital assets (in relation to useful life).	• 70.8%	● 71.6%	-0.8%	52.4%

Source: Financial Information Return (FIR) for the year ended March 31, 2017

#### FCI – Roof (Key) Indicators

Indicator	2017	2016	+/-	2017 Town Avg.
Deficits in the Last 5 Years *: This indicator speaks to the number of deficits a municipality experienced in the last 5 years. A high number of deficits may indicate a municipality is struggling to meet services. If there is a deficit, further investigation would be required to determine the size and cause of the deficit.	• 0/5	• 0/5	N/A	0.4/5
Liquidity: This indicator speaks to whether the municipality has enough cash to pay bills as they come due. This indicator can highlight any cash flow problems or signal concerns in other areas such as potential revenue collection.	● 3.5	• 2.0	1.5	2.7
Reliance on Government Transfers: This indicator speaks to municipality's reliance on transfers from other government(s).	• 7.7%	8.0%	-0.3%	6.1%
Combined Reserve ** : This indicator speaks to whether the municipality is investing enough to keep pace with the aging of existing assets, and unforeseen circumstances.	86.6%	N/A	N/A	38.0%

Source: Financial Information Return (FIR) for the year ended March 31, 2017

Note: \* Moderate Risk (Yellow) if one or more deficits in the last 5 years/ High Risk (Red) if one or more deficits in the last 2 years with one material deficit (0.5% of Total Operating Expense).

\*\* The result may not reflect an impact of PSAB related liabilities (e.g.Pension Liabilities, Landfill Closure and Post Closure Liabilities, or Deferred Gas Tax Revenue) that are recorded only in the consolidated financial statements.

#### FCI – Base Indicators

Indicator Name / Rationale		Thresholds	Interpretations
<b>3-year Change in Tax Base</b> This indicator illustrates the growth in property assessment. Municipalities rely heavily on the property tax to fund services;		Equal or Greater than Consumer Price Index (CPI) rate - <b>3% for</b> <b>2017 year</b> .	♦ A percentage lower than the CPI rate indicates property assessments are growing slower than the inflation.
therefore, healthy growth in property tax is important to a municipality's financial position. If growth in assessments does not keep pace with inflation, it is a sign that the	0	Less than CPI	♦ A percentage higher than the CPI rate indicates property assessments are growing faster than the inflation.
municipality may have trouble maintaining the current service levels without raising the tax rate.	•	Negative Growth	• A negative percentage indicates a decrease in assessment value, which usually indicates serious economic concerns in the region, either because of the loss of a major employer or persistent economic and demographic decline.
<b>Reliance on a Single Business or Institution</b> This indicator shows how much a municipality's tax base depends on a single	•	Less than 10%	♦ A low percentage indicates that the municipality may not rely on a single business or institution for a large part of its tax revenue.
commercial or institutional account. Government Finance Officers Association (GFOA) recommends that municipalities are aware of any reliance on a single industry or	0	10% to 15%	♦ A high percentage indicates that the municipality may have a greater reliance on a single business or institution for its tax revenue. Often a large tax account will be a key
employer when making financial plans including budgeting and establishing reserves.	•	Greater than 15%	part of the local economy, so a major operational change or business closure can have a significant impact on the municipality and the community's economic health.
Residential Tax Effort			
This indicator shows how much of a household's income is required to pay the average tax bill. This indicator combines two	•	Less than 4%	◆ A lower result suggests the municipality may have more flexibility to increase the tax rate.
other indicators: residential tax burden and median household income to provide a comparison for relative tax burden rather than simply comparing property tax rates. Measures of tax burden and effort are important so that council can assess the affordability of taxes in relation to service levels when setting a municipality's budget.	0	4% to 6%	◆ A higher result suggests that the municipality may have less flexibility to increase the tax rate, if additional revenue is required.
		Greater than 6%	

#### **FCI – Structure Indicators**

Indicator Name / Rationale	Thresholds	Interpretations
Uncollected Taxes		
This indicator measures how much of current and previous years' taxes were not collected at year end, compared to the	Less than 10%	<ul> <li>A low percentage indicates the municipality is managing tax revenue collection.</li> </ul>
current taxes billed. Failure to collect taxes can significantly impact actual revenue, cash flow, and thereby could hinder their	O 10% to 15%	<ul> <li>A high percentage may indicate the municipality is having trouble monitoring and collecting overdue tax accounts.</li> </ul>
ability to provide services. The potential lost or delayed tax revenue could threaten the financial health of the municipality.	Greater than 15%	
Budget Accuracy It is important that municipalities can accurately project revenues and expenditures. Difficulty projecting revenues and expenditures may lead to future deficits, and can make longer term budgeting decisions and strategic planning challenging.	All budgets within +/-5% of actuals in the last 5 years	The larger the number (either positive or negative), the bigger the discrepancy between budgeted and actual expenditures.
	One budget not within the +/-5% of actuals in the last 5 years	<ul> <li>A negative number means that actual expenditures were greater than budgeted.</li> </ul>
	Two or more budgets not within the +/-5% of actuals in the last 5 years	A positive number means that actual expenditures were less than budgeted.
Operating Reserves		◆ A high percentage indicates more funds are
This indicator shows the total value of funds held in operating reserves compared to a	Greater than 20%	held in operating reserves, which indicates higher flexibility to address unexpected events in the future.
single year's operating budget. Reserves can play an important role in prudent budget	🥚 10% to 20%	◆ A low percentage indicates less flexibility to address unexpected events in the future, which
planning.	Less than 10%	could put the municipality in a deficit position.

Low Risk

🔵 Moderate Risk 🛛 🛑 High Risk

#### FCI – Structure Indicators (continued)

nued)		
	🔵 Low Risk	

🔵 Moderate Risk 🛛 🛑 High Risk

Indicator Name / Rationale	Thresholds	Interpretations
Debt Service Municipalities are not allowed to incur debt because of operating deficits, but they can borrow funds to purchase/construct capital	Less than 10%	◆ A low number may indicate that the municipality has deferred capital projects to keep the debt load low. This may also indicate that the municipality has prudent financial debt management.
assets. The debt service result provides an indication of how much of a municipality's revenue is devoted to debt repayment. Own source revenue is used instead of total revenue to allow analysis of only the revenue within council's control.	🥚 10% to 15%	A high number may indicate the municipality has borrowed a large amount of debt. This could limit its ability to borrow in the future, and paying the debt expense will tie up operating
	Greater than 15%	revenue. However, it is important to note that a municipality with an aggressive debt repaymen schedule will have a higher debt service indicator due to the larger principal payments.
Outstanding Operating Debt		◆ A low percentage may indicate that a
This measure calculates the municipality's borrowing limit per the MGA Section 84. A municipality may borrow to cover the annual	Less than 25%	municipality is covering the annual current expenditures without a high reliance on borrowing.
current expenditures of the municipality that has been authorized by the council, but their borrowing can not exceed 50% of the	25% to 50%	◆ A high percentage may indicate that a municipality has a high debt load relative to their revenue base.
combined total of the taxes levied and government transfers.	Greater than 50%	
<b>Undepreciated Assets</b> This indicator provides an estimate of the useful life left in the municipality's capital assets. Municipalities across Canada are	More than 50%	◆ A lower percentage indicates older infrastructure. It does not necessarily indicate the condition of the assets. Some older assets still could be in a good working condition.
facing significant infrastructure challenges. Therefore, it is important to keep informed of the age and condition of its capital assets	🥚 35% to 50%	A higher percentage indicates newer infrastructure.
to ensure they are making timely and appropriate investments.	e Less than 35%	

#### FCI – Roof (Key) Indicators

🔵 Low Risk (

🔵 Moderate Risk 🛛 🛑 High Risk

Indicator Name / Rationale	Thresholds	Interpretations
Deficits in the Last 5 Years		
Deficits are an important indication of financial health for municipalities. All municipalities are required to prepare	• None in the last 5 years	<ul> <li>Several deficits in a 5-year period may indicate financial difficulty. However, results should be interpreted in context; unpredictable</li> </ul>
balanced operating budgets. Any operatng deficits incurred are required to be repaid in	One or more in the last 5 years	events beyond the control of a municipality can significantly affect its budgeted revenues or
the following budget year.	One or more in the last 2 years with one material (0.5% of total operating expenses)	expenditures. Further investigation is required to determine the size and cause of any deficit.
<b>Liquidity</b> Liquidity is a key short-term financial performance indicator. Low liquidity can	Greater than 1.5	◆ A liquidity below 1.0 indicates that the municipality has less cash and assets that are easily converted to cash on hand than the amount required to pay current obligations.
indicate a cash flow problem, and may indicate concern in other areas such as revenue collection.	🔵 1 to 1.5	♦ A municipality with an exceptionally high liquidity may be better served by investing in
	e Less than 1	instruments that will earn interest revenue.
Reliance on Government Transfers This indicator measures how much of total revenues come from government transfers.	Less than 15%	A low indicator may indicate higher self- sufficiency; therefore, might provide council increased autonomy in making decisions.
This assesses a municipality's level of independence in making decisions.	🥚 15% to 20%	A high score may indicate a higher dependency on government transfers which
	Greater than 20%	could limit councils' autonomy in making decisions.
<b>Combinded Reserves</b> This indicator provides the total value of funds aside for planned future needs (e.g.	More than 40%	◆ A low percentage may indicate the municipality has limit flexibility to offset unexpected losses or increases in expenses.
capital projects), to smooth expenses (e.g. winter road maintenance reserve) or for the	9 30% to 40%	♦ A high percentage indicates would indicate that a municipality is setting aside money for
unexpected expenses.	Less than 30%	future needs.

### **Appendix II – Additional Resources**

#### Nova Scotia Government's Open Data Portal

Nova Scotia Government's Open Data Portal officially launched February 5, 2016. This portal provides access to various government data in a free, accessible, machine-readable format. The financial datasets currently published through the Nova Scotia Government's Open Data Portal are:

- Financial Condition Indicators by Municipality;
- Municipal Fiscal Statistics- Consolidated Revenues and Expenses by Municipality;
- Municipal Fiscal Statistics- Operating Fund Summary of Revenue and Expenses by Municipality;
- Municipal Fiscal Statistics- Operating Fund Expenses -10 Year Summary;
- Municipal Fiscal Statistics- Operating Fund Revenue -10 Year Summary;
- Municipal Property Tax Rates;
- Nova Scotia Equalization Program;
- Nova Scotia Power Grant In Lieu; and
- Uniform Assessment.

Open Data Portal Link: data.novascotia.ca

#### **Municipal Website**

A municipality's website can be a helpful resource to access various financial information. Currently, most municipal websites provide:

- audited Financial Statements; and
- approved Operating Budget.

Windsor Website: town.windsor.ns.ca

### **About Us**

For more information, support in action plan development or to obtain a guide on action plan development, please contact:

Katharine Cox-Brown Director, Municipal Finance Municipal Finance & Operating Grants Municipal Affairs

Katharine.Cox-Brown@novascotia.ca 902.424.4643

